UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,050	07/24/2003	Brent L. Davis	BOC9-2003-0005 (374)	1446
40987 Novak Druce +	7590 05/12/200 Ouigg LLP	EXAMINER		
CityPlace Towe	er, 525 Okeechobee Bly	LERNER, MARTIN		
Fifteenth-Floor WEST PALM I	BEACH, FL 33401		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/626,050	DAVIS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MARTIN LERNER	2626	

	MARTIN LERNER	2626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sign forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a c			ne issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11		cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 to 2 and 4 to 6</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Martin Lerner/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2626	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/626,050

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

New issues are presented by newly-added claims 20 to 24, which may be construed to have a different scope than claims 1 to 2 and 4 to 6, insofar as the newly-added claims set forth the location of the speech processing device between the teleconferencing system and the instant messaging system only by a preambular limitation instead of a limitation that is within the body of the claim. Moreover, the first two steps of newly-added independent claim 20 are somewhat different in scope from what is set forth by independent claim 1. Furthermore, Applicants present a new argument alleging that Moore et al. ('041) does not disclose a plurality of instant messaging devices, which requires further consideration.

Continuation of 13. Other:

The Information Disclosure Statement filed 16 March 2009 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. See 37 CFR 1.97(d)(2).